

Reviewers Guideline

PART A: Editorial Office Only

SECTION I

Title: "ACCESS TO INFORMATION: THE ROLE OF PUBLIC LIBRARIES IN NIGERIAN SOCIETY."

Manuscript Number: IJEPRR-16-050

Date sent out: 04-07-2016

Expected date: 09-08-2016

Reviewer's Name: Joseph A. Schweyk, Ph.D.

Reviewer's E-mail: jschweyk@gmail.com

Reviewer's Affiliation and Specialisation: Faculty of Education, Max-Brod University, Prague

PART B: Reviewer Only

SECTION II: Comments per Section of Manuscript

Abstract:	Abstract is clear, understandable and very informative. It achieved victory in the use of the words "access" and "information".
Introduction	Introduction is clear and well-founded acquaints readers with the topic. The reader can find that a citation can be inserted into different types of braces, any space is necessary between sentences, junction can be capitalized.
Methodology:	The methodology is described very clearly. No wonder, therefore if author(s) write nothing, then nothing can be reviewed and criticized which is very clear and understandable to any reader.
Results:	The results are presented very clearly, all tables and figures help reader to understand to all results.
Discussion:	In the Discussion the topic is very savvy discussed. It's very exciting reading, in which the reader gasp, whether authors will continue to make spaces between

	sentences, writing in the middle of sentences caps, etc.
How well is the paper integrated with current research :	The paper definitely is integrated with current research. Author(s) cite various high impacted journals indexed in top databases.
Overall evaluation on the paper:	The paper is very clear, understandable and necessary for the library science.

SECTION II (Cont.)

Bibliography/References:	All references relate to author guidelines.
Others:	none
Adequacy of literature review	It is adequate.
Figures:	Very nice, full of colours
Tables:	Very nice

SECTION III - Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	4
Contribution To The Field:	4
Technical Quality:	4
Clarity Of Presentation :	4
Depth Of Research:	4

SECTION IV- Research contribution rating: (Kindly Mark With An X)

Major contribution	
Reasonable contribution	
Marginal contribution	
No contribution	X

SECTION V – Recommendation for publication: (Kindly Mark With An X)

Accept As Is:	X
Requires Minor Corrections:	
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Submit To Another Publication Such As:	
Rejection(Please give reasons)	

SECTION VI: